

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,367	01/30/2004	Kazuhiro Harada	JG-SU-5196/500577.20055	7905	
26418	7590 05/31/2006		EXAMINER		
REED SMI	,	HITESHEW, FELISA CARLA			
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	K, NY 10022-7650		1722		
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006	ς	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>\\\\</i>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/769,367	HARADA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del> </del>
		Felisa C. Hiteshew	1722	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	;
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u> 	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		ts is
Dispositi	on of Claims	, , ,		
5)	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 2 and 4-18 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath	election requirement.  cepted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	;
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		
Pape	No(s)/Mail Date <u>See attached paper</u> .	6) Other:	(F 10-102)	

## Priority

Page 2

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.97 has been received and reviewed. However, the information disclosure is not deemed to be pertinent over the prior art of record.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 3 and 6-7, respectively, the terminology "...predetermined rotation speed..." is being considered vague and indefinite.

In claim 3 lines 2 and 4, respectively, the terminology "...predetermined rotation speed..." is being considered vague and indefinite.

A claim is indefinite where it specifies "predetermined" temperatures, etc., when "predetermined" according to applicant's (or applicants') definition, merely means determined before hand. See Seagrams & Sons Inc., vs Mattell, 84 U.S.P.Q. 180. Therefore, the claim(s) is\are unpatentable under 35 U.S.P.Q. 112 second paragraph.

The use of "predetermined" reads on a nebulous mental step conducted prior to the manipulative steps of the claimed invention, hence rendering the present process

Art Unit: 1722

claims unclear in meaning and scope. If applicant wishes to patent detailed controls over the recited process, they must be positively recited.

In claim 1, line 7, the terminology "vacancy-type" is being considered vague and indefinite.

In claim 3, line 7, the terminology "vacancy-type" is being considered vague and indefinite.

The addition of the word "type' to an otherwise definite expression extends the scope of the expression so as to render it indefinite. *Ex Parte Copenhaver*, 109 USPQ 118 (Bd. App. 1955).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).
- 4. Claims 2 and 4-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 1 and 3 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The most relevant prior arts of reference was that of U.S. Pat No. 5,766,341 A and U.S. Patent No. 5,593,498 A (Kimbel, et al). However, they do not teach nor fairly

Art Unit: 1722

suggest singularly or in any combination thereof a method of manufacturing a silicon single crystal, comprising rotating a quartz crucible for storing a silicon melt at a specified rotation speed, rotating a silicon single crystal ingot pulled from the silicon melt in an opposite direction to the rotation of the quartz crucible at a specified rotation speed, and pulling the silicon single crystal ingot at a pull rate such that an interior of the silicon single crystal ingot becomes a perfect region in which agglomerates of interstitial silicon point defects and agglomerates of vacancy point defects are absent: wherein an average rotation speed CR<sub>TAV</sub> of the quartz crucible during the pulling of the top ingot portion of the silicon crystal ingot is set faster than an average rotation speed CR<sub>BAV</sub> is set to be within the range of from 0.1 to 7 rpm.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTOL-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felisa Hiteshew whose telephone number is (571) 272-1463. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursday from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM with Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-1463.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,367

Art Unit: 1722

it: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system. see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866- 217-9197

(toll-free).

FELISA HITESHEW

Page 5